




INSTITUTE OF ECONOMIC STUDIES, FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES
CHARLES UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE (*established 1348*)



*ROBUST
STATISTICS
AND
ECONOMETRICS*


INSTITUTE OF ECONOMIC STUDIES
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES
CHARLES UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

JAN ÁMOS VÍŠEK

Week 5

Content of lecture

- 1 Repetiton is mother of wisdom (Jan Amos Komensky)




Content of lecture

- 1 Repetition is mother of wisdom (Jan Amos Komensky)
- 2 Estimators alternative to the classical ones
 - Location parameter
 - Scale parameter
 - General parameter


Content

- 1 Repetition is mother of wisdom (Jan Amos Komensky)
- 2 Estimators alternative to the classical ones
 - Location parameter
 - Scale parameter
 - General parameter



The most popular families of robust estimators


The first four lectures established all the prerequisites
for starting to study basic families of (optimal) robust estimators.



The most popular families of robust estimators

The first four lectures established all the prerequisites for starting to study basic families of (optimal) robust estimators.

Prior to it let, repeat some basic findings from previous lectures.




The most popular families of robust estimators

The first four lectures established all the prerequisites
for starting to study basic families of (optimal) robust estimators.

Prior to it let, repeat some basic findings from previous lectures.

We'll start with influence function
and the four robustness characteristics of estimators.



Recalling influence function

Returning to IF - the second return



Recalling influence function

Returning to IF - the second return

The mathematical part of definition of the influence function is :

$$IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{T\left((1 - \delta)F(\cdot) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x\right) - T\left(F(\cdot)\right)}{\delta}.$$

Recalling influence function

Returning to IF - the second return

The mathematical part of definition of the influence function is :

$$IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{T\left((1 - \delta)F(\cdot) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x\right) - T\left(F(\cdot)\right)}{\delta}.$$

Let's recall that if we add new observation, say x_{n+1} ,
the value of estimator changes from

$$T(F) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n IF(x_i, F, T) \quad \text{to} \quad T(F) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} IF(x_i, F, T).$$

Recalling influence function

Returning to IF - the second return

The mathematical part of definition of the influence function is :

$$IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{T\left((1 - \delta)F(\cdot) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x\right) - T\left(F(\cdot)\right)}{\delta}.$$

Let's recall that if we add new observation, say x_{n+1} ,
the value of estimator changes from

$$T(F) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n IF(x_i, F, T) \quad \text{to} \quad T(F) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} IF(x_i, F, T).$$

So, $\frac{1}{n+1} IF(x_{n+1}, F, T)$ approximately represents
a contribution of the observation x_{n+1} to the functional $T(F_n)$.

Recalling influence function

Returning to IF - the second return

The mathematical part of definition of the influence function is :

$$IF(x; T, F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{T\left((1 - \delta)F(\cdot) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x\right) - T\left(F(\cdot)\right)}{\delta}$$

Influence function $IF(x, F, T)$ (IF) predetermines or predestinates (many) properties of estimator.

the value of estimator changes from

$$T(F) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n IF(x_i, F, T) \quad \text{to} \quad T(F) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} IF(x_i, F, T).$$

Recalling influence function

Returning to IF - the second return

The mathematical part of definition of the influence function is :

$$IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{T\left((1 - \delta)F(\cdot) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x\right) - T\left(F(\cdot)\right)}{\delta}$$

Influence function $IF(x, F, T)$ (IF) predetermines or predestinates (many) properties of estimator.

the value of estimator changes from

$$T(F) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n IF(x_i, F, T) \quad \text{to} \quad T(F) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} IF(x_i, F, T).$$


That was the reason

why we have defined a couple of new requirements by it.

The “robustness” characteristics for basic estimators

At the end of the fourth lecture we have established
the influence functions and the robustness characteristics
for

- and
- 1 the location
 - 2 scale parameter.




The IF and “robustness” characteristics for the location parameter

- 1 Fix $T(F) = E_F(Z) = \int Z dF = \int z \cdot f(z) dz$.
- 2 $T(F(\cdot)) = \int z \cdot f(z) dz = \mu$.
- 3 $T((1 - \delta)F(\cdot) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x)$
 $= \int z \{(1 - \delta)f(x) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x\} dz = (1 - \delta) \cdot \mu + \delta \cdot x$.
- 4 Finally, $IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta \cdot (-\mu + x)}{\delta} = -\mu + x$.

The IF and “robustness” characteristics for the location parameter

So, from previous slide $IF(x, T, F) = -\mu + x$.



The IF and “robustness” characteristics for the location parameter

So, from previous slide $IF(x, T, F) = -\mu + x$.

As the IF isn't bounded,
the “robustness” characteristics of $T(F) = E_F(X)$ are:

- 1 The gross error sensitivity $\gamma^* = \sup_{x \in R} |IF(x, T, F)| = \infty$.
- 2 The local-shift sensitivity $\lambda^* = \sup_{x, y \in R} \left| \frac{IF(x, T, F) - IF(y, T, F)}{x - y} \right| = 1$.
- 3 The rejection point $\rho^* = \inf \{ r \in R^+ : IF(x, T, F) = 0, |x| > r \} = \infty$.
- 4 The breakdown point $\varepsilon^* = 0$


(the last characteristic is “derived heuristically”
from the finite version of breakdown point).

The IF and “robustness” characteristics for the scale parameter

- 1 Fix $T(F) = E_F(Z - EZ)^2 = \int (Z - EZ)^2 dF = \int (z - EZ)^2 \cdot f(z) dz$.
- 2 $T(F(\cdot)) = \int (z - EZ)^2 \cdot f(z) dz = \sigma^2$.
- 3 $T((1 - \delta)F(\cdot) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x)$
 $= \int (z - EZ)^2 \{(1 - \delta)f(z) + \delta \cdot \Delta_x\} dz = (1 - \delta) \cdot \sigma^2 + \delta \cdot (x - EZ)^2$.
- 4 Finally, $IF(x, T, F) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta \cdot (-\sigma^2 + (x - EZ)^2)}{\delta} = -\sigma^2 + (x - EZ)^2$.

The IF and “robustness” characteristics for the scale parameter

So, from previous slide $IF(x, T, F) = -\sigma^2 + (x - EZ)^2$.



The IF and “robustness” characteristics for the scale parameter

So, from previous slide $IF(x, T, F) = -\sigma^2 + (x - EZ)^2$.

As the IF isn't bounded,
the “robustness” characteristics of $T(F) = E_F(Z - EZ)^2$ are:

- 1 The gross error sensitivity $\gamma^* = \sup_{x \in R} |IF(x, T, F)| = \infty$.
- 2 The local-shift sensitivity $\lambda^* = \sup_{x, y \in R} \left| \frac{IF(x, T, F) - IF(y, T, F)}{x - y} \right| = \infty$.
- 3 The rejection point $\rho^* = \inf \{r \in R^+ : IF(x, T, F) = 0, |x| > r\} = \infty$.
- 4 The breakdown point $\varepsilon^* = 0$


(the last characteristic is again “derived heuristically”
from the finite version of breakdown point).

We have discussed the general reasons causing instability of estimator.

Maximum likelihood - solving an extremal problem

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(x_i, \theta))$$



We have discussed the general reasons causing instability of estimator.

Maximum likelihood - solving an extremal problem

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(x_i, \theta))$$

Let $f(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$ and consider only μ

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \min_{\mu \in R} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

We have discussed the general reasons causing instability of estimator.

Maximum likelihood - solving an extremal problem

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(x_i, \theta))$$


Let $f(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$ and consider only μ

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \min_{\mu \in R} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

The observations with large $(x_i - \mu)^2$
have a large influence on solution.


Evidently, low robustness is consequence of quadratic objective function

We have such objective function.




Evidently, low robustness is consequence of quadratic objective function

We should depress influence of large residuals.



Evidently, low robustness is consequence of quadratic objective function

We should employ such **objective function**.




Evidently, low robustness is consequence of quadratic objective function

Conclusion - instead of solving

$$\hat{\mu}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

we should solve

$$\hat{\mu}^{(M,n)} = \arg \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \mu).$$




Let's study general reasons causing it - an alternative way.

Maximum likelihood - solving the normal equations

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(x_i, \theta))$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f(x_i, \theta)} \cdot \frac{\partial f(x_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \right\}$$



Let's study general reasons causing it - an alternative way.

Maximum likelihood - solving the normal equations

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(x_i, \theta))$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f(x_i, \theta)} \cdot \frac{\partial f(x_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \right\}$$

Let again $f(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$, i. e. $\frac{\partial f(x_i, \theta)}{\partial \mu} = f(x_i, \mu, \sigma^2) \cdot \frac{(x_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2}$

and consider only $\mu \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0 \right\}$

Let's study general reasons causing it - an alternative way.

Maximum likelihood - solving the normal equations

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(x_i, \theta))$$

$$\hat{\theta}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f(x_i, \theta)} \cdot \frac{\partial f(x_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \right\}$$

Let again $f(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$, i. e. $\frac{\partial f(x_i, \theta)}{\partial \mu} = f(x_i, \mu, \sigma^2) \cdot \frac{(x_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2}$


and consider only $\mu \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}^{(ML,n)} = \arg \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0 \right\}$

The same conclusion:

The observations with large $|x_i - \mu|$
have a large influence on solution.


Equivalently, low robustness is consequence of identity in normal equations

We have such **influence function**.




Equivalently, low robustness is consequence of identity in normal equations

We should depress influence of large residuals.



Equivalently, low robustness is consequence of identity in normal equations

We should employ such **influence function**.




Equivalently, low robustness is consequence of identity in normal equations


Conclusion - instead of solving

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0$$

we should solve

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i - \mu) = 0.$$






We have recalled everything what will be helpful
and bringing an inspiration for today discussion. So, let's start.

Content

- 1 Repetition is mother of wisdom (Jan Amos Komensky)
- 2 Estimators alternative to the classical ones
 - Location parameter
 - Scale parameter
 - General parameter

Content

- 1 Repetition is mother of wisdom (Jan Amos Komensky)
- 2 Estimators alternative to the classical ones
 - Location parameter
 - Scale parameter
 - General parameter



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.

Up to now we spoke several times about estimating the **location parameter**. Let's give its definition:



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.

Up to now we spoke several times about estimating the **location parameter**. Let's give its definition:

Let $F(x)$ be a (parent) d. f. . Then $\{F(x - \mu)\}_{\mu \in R}$ is called the family with location parameter.



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.

Up to now we spoke several times about estimating the **location parameter**. Let's give its definition:

Let $F(x)$ be a (parent) d. f. . Then $\{F(x - \mu)\}_{\mu \in R}$ is called the family with location parameter.

Let's start with estimating the location parameter:

The solution of the extremal problem

$$\hat{\mu}^{(M,n)} = \arg \min_{\mu \in R} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \mu)$$

is called *Maximum likelihood-like estimators of location* or *M-estimators of location*, for short.

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.

Up to now we spoke several times about estimating the **location parameter**. Let's give its definition:

Let $F(x)$ be a (parent) d. f. . Then $\{F(x - \mu)\}_{\mu \in R}$ is called the family with location parameter.

Let's start with estimating the location parameter:


The solution of the extremal problem

$$\hat{\mu}^{(M,n)} = \arg \min_{\mu \in R} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \mu)$$


is called *Maximum likelihood-like estimators of location* or *M-estimators of location*, for short.

(Example of ρ is on the next slide.)


Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.




Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.




Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location.



M-estimator of location is one of solutions of $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i - \mu) = 0$.


Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location and scale.

The functions ρ and ψ were firstly proposed in:



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - location and scale.

The functions ρ and ψ were firstly proposed in:



Huber, P. J. (1964): Robust estimation of a location parameter.
Ann. Math. Statist. 35, pp. 73–101.

Hence they are usually referred to as **Huber's ρ** and **Huber's ψ** .

Content

- 1 Repetition is mother of wisdom (Jan Amos Komensky)
- 2 Estimators alternative to the classical ones
 - Location parameter
 - **Scale parameter**
 - General parameter



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.

Up to now we spoke also several times about estimating the **scale parameter**. Let's give its definition:



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.

Up to now we spoke also several times about estimating the **scale parameter**. Let's give its definition:

Let $F(x)$ be a (parent) d.f. . Then $\{F(x/\sigma)\}_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called the family with scale parameter.



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.

Up to now we spoke also several times about estimating the **scale parameter**. Let's give its definition:

Let $F(x)$ be a (parent) d.f. . Then $\{F(x/\sigma)\}_{\mu \in R}$ is called the family with scale parameter.

Let's continue with estimating the scale parameter:

The solution of the extremal problem

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(M,n)} = \arg \min_{\sigma \in R^+} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i/\sigma)$$

is called *Maximum likelihood-like estimators of scale* ,
or *M-estimators of scale* for short.

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.

Up to now we spoke also several times about estimating the **scale parameter**. Let's give its definition:

Let $F(x)$ be a (parent) d. f. . Then $\{F(x/\sigma)\}_{\mu \in R}$ is called the family with scale parameter.

Let's continue with estimating the scale parameter:


The solution of the extremal problem

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(M,n)} = \arg \min_{\sigma \in R^+} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i/\sigma)$$


is called *Maximum likelihood-like estimators of scale* ,
or *M-estimators of scale* for short.

(An example of ρ is the same - see the next slide.)

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.




Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.



Denoting the derivative of ρ by ψ
(just the derivative according to argument), we obtain again:

The rest will be nearly the same as for location:

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.




M -estimator of scale is one of solutions of $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i/\sigma) = 0$.

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.

Recalling once again that ρ and ψ were proposed in pioneering paper:


Huber, P. J. (1964): Robust estimation of a location parameter.
Ann. Math. Statist. 35, pp. 73–101.



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - scale.

Recalling once again that ρ and ψ were proposed in pioneering paper:


Huber, P. J. (1964): Robust estimation of a location parameter.
Ann. Math. Statist. 35, pp. 73–101.



We can start to consider a general parameter.


Content

- 1 Repetition is mother of wisdom (Jan Amos Komensky)
- 2 Estimators alternative to the classical ones
 - Location parameter
 - Scale parameter
 - General parameter



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

Now, let's consider a general parameter family:



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

Now, let's consider a general parameter family:

In what follows, let $\{F(x, \theta)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ and $\{f(x, \theta)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ be families of d. f.'s and densities, respectively.



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

Now, let's consider a general parameter family:

In what follows, let $\{F(x, \theta)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ and $\{f(x, \theta)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ be families of d. f.'s and densities, respectively.

Then:

The solution of the extremal problem

$$\hat{\theta}^{(M,n)} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i, \theta)$$

is called *Maximum likelihood-like estimators of the parameter θ* or *M-estimators of θ* , for short.

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

Now, let's consider a general parameter family:

In what follows, let $\{F(x, \theta)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ and $\{f(x, \theta)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ be families of d. f.'s and densities, respectively.

Then:


The solution of the extremal problem

$$\hat{\theta}^{(M,n)} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i, \theta)$$

is called *Maximum likelihood-like estimators of the parameter θ* or *M-estimators of θ* , for short.


(We can use the same ρ as for location and scale.)

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.



Let's stress that the letters ρ and ψ became employed nearly exclusively for objective function and its derivative.


Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.



Then we can again claim:

M -estimator of θ is one of solutions of $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta) = 0$.


M -estimators - general parameter.



What is a drawback of M -estimators ?


M-estimators - general parameter.

To learn it, let's consider the following data:




M-estimators - general parameter.

And now, let's consider these data:



M-estimators - general parameter.




Clearly:

The solution $\hat{\theta}^{(M,n)}$ of the normal equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta) = 0$$

is not scale-equivariant.

M-estimators - general parameter.



How to cope with the problem ?

M-estimators - general parameter.

Let $\hat{\sigma}$ be a (highly) robust estimator
of the standard deviation of data x_i 's and solve:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i / \hat{\sigma}, \theta) = 0.$$

The solution $\hat{\theta}^{(M,n)}$ is then scale-equivariant.

M-estimators - general parameter.

Let $\hat{\sigma}$ be a (highly) robust estimator
of the standard deviation of data x_i 's and solve:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i / \hat{\sigma}, \theta) = 0.$$

The solution $\hat{\theta}^{(M,n)}$ is then scale-equivariant.

An example of such estimator is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{MAD} = 1.483 \operatorname{med}_i \{ |x_i - \operatorname{med}_j(x_j)| \}.$$

M-estimators - general parameter.

Let $\hat{\sigma}$ be a (highly) robust estimator
of the standard deviation of data x_i 's and solve:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i / \hat{\sigma}, \theta) = 0.$$

The solution $\hat{\theta}^{(M,n)}$ is then scale-equivariant.

An example of such estimator is


$$\hat{\sigma}_{MAD} = 1.483 \operatorname{med}_i \{ |x_i - \operatorname{med}_j(x_j)| \}.$$

(A comparison of $1.483 * MAD$ and s_n is on the next slide.)


Demonstrating abilities of MAD

Observe the mean \bullet and the median \bullet
and standard deviation s_n \bullet and $\hat{\sigma}_{MAD}$ \bullet .

Non-contaminated data - normal d. f. $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{9}$




Absolute values of data




Demonstrating abilities of MAD

Observe the mean \bullet and the median \bullet
and standard deviation s_n \bullet and $\hat{\sigma}_{MAD}$ \bullet .

Contamination at point 1



Absolute values of data




Demonstrating abilities of MAD

Observe the mean \bullet and the median \bullet
and standard deviation s_n \bullet and $\hat{\sigma}_{MAD}$ \bullet .

Contamination at point 2




Absolute values of data




Demonstrating abilities of MAD

Observe the mean \bullet and the median \bullet
and standard deviation s_n \bullet and $\hat{\sigma}_{MAD}$ \bullet .

Contamination at point 3




Absolute values of data




Demonstrating abilities of MAD

Observe the mean \bullet and the median \bullet
and standard deviation s_n \bullet and $\hat{\sigma}_{MAD}$ \bullet .

Contamination at point 5




Absolute values of data




Demonstrating abilities of MAD

Observe the mean \bullet and the median \bullet
and standard deviation s_n \bullet and $\hat{\sigma}_{MAD}$ \bullet .

Contamination at point 8




Absolute values of data




Demonstrating abilities of MAD

Observe the mean \bullet and the median \bullet
and standard deviation s_n \bullet and $\hat{\sigma}_{MAD}$ \bullet .

Contamination at point 12




Absolute values of data



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

For the nearly exhaustive explanation see:




Hampel, F. R., E. M. Ronchetti, P. J. Rousseeuw, W. A. Stahel (1986):
Robust Statistics – The Approach Based on Influence Functions.
New York: J.Wiley & Son.



Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

For the nearly exhaustive explanation see:




Hampel, F. R., E. M. Ronchetti, P. J. Rousseeuw, W. A. Stahel (1986):
Robust Statistics – The Approach Based on Influence Functions.
New York: J.Wiley & Son.



This is probably most frequently referred book
having an extremely interesting first chapter -
which is without mathematics and can be read as a detective story.

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

For the nearly exhaustive explanation see:




Hampel, F. R., E. M. Ronchetti, P. J. Rousseeuw, W. A. Stahel (1986):
Robust Statistics – The Approach Based on Influence Functions.
New York: J.Wiley & Son.

This is probably most frequently referred book
having an extremely interesting first chapter -
which is without mathematics and can be read as a detective story.

The rest of book is mostly beyond the scope of this basic lecture
but we shall (without the proofs) quote some results from it.

Reviewing the basic families of robust estimators - general parameter.

For the nearly exhaustive explanation see:



Hampel, F. R., E. M. Ronchetti, P. J. Rousseeuw, W. A. Stahel (1986):
Robust Statistics – The Approach Based on Influence Functions.
New York: J.Wiley & Son.

This is probably most frequently referred book
having an extremely interesting first chapter -
which is without mathematics and can be read as a detective story.

The rest of book is mostly beyond the scope of this basic lecture
but we shall (without the proofs) quote some results from it.
(Let's give only one example.)


Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying parent d. f. $F(x)$

with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric

and ask for the M -estimator solving the location problem

and having following properties:



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.


Assume the underlying parent d. f. $F(x)$

with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric

and ask for the M -estimator solving the location problem

and having following properties:

- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying parent d. f. $F(x)$

with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric

and ask for the M -estimator solving the location problem

and having following properties:

- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,
- 2 a priori given gross-error sensitivity.

Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying parent d. f. $F(x)$

with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric

and ask for the M -estimator solving the location problem

and having following properties:

- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,
- 2 a priori given gross-error sensitivity.

Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying parent d. f. $F(x)$

with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric

and ask for the M -estimator solving the location problem

and having following properties:

- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,
- 2 a priori given gross-error sensitivity.


The solution is given by

$$\psi(x) = \max \{ -b, \min \{ b, -f'(x)/f(x) \} \}.$$

An example of the likelihood function $f'(x)/f(x)$

Let's consider the standard normal distribution

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\},$$




An example of the likelihood function $f'(x)/f(x)$

Let's consider the standard normal distribution

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\},$$

i. e.

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} \cdot \{-x\}, = f(x) \cdot \{-x\},$$



An example of the likelihood function $f'(x)/f(x)$

Let's consider the standard normal distribution

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\},$$

i. e.


$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} \cdot \{-x\}, = f(x) \cdot \{-x\},$$

hence

$$-\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = x.$$


Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Specifying $F(x) = \Phi(x)$, we obtain



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.


Assume the underlying d. f. $F(x)$ with differentiable density $f(x)$
which is symmetric and ask for the M -estimator having:



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying d. f. $F(x)$ with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric and ask for the M -estimator having:


- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying d. f. $F(x)$ with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric and ask for the M -estimator having:


- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,
- 2 a priori given gross-error sensitivity,



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying d. f. $F(x)$ with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric and ask for the M -estimator having:


- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,
- 2 a priori given gross-error sensitivity,
- 3 a priori given rejection point c .



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying d. f. $F(x)$ with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric and ask for the M -estimator having:

- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,
- 2 a priori given gross-error sensitivity,
- 3 a priori given rejection point c .



Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Assume the underlying d. f. $F(x)$ with differentiable density $f(x)$ which is symmetric and ask for the M -estimator having:

- 1 The efficiency as high as possible,
- 2 a priori given gross-error sensitivity,
- 3 a priori given rejection point c .


The solution is given by

$$\psi(x) = \max \{-h(x), \min \{h(x), f'(x)/f(x)\}\}$$

where the shape of the function $h(x)$ is given
by employment of $\tanh(x)$ - see next slide.

Example of searching for an optimal M -estimator of location.

Specifying $F(x) = \Phi(x)$, we obtain



Other types of estimators

Estimators based on linear (hence the name) combination of order statistics - L-estimators

Estimating the location

Observations $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \Rightarrow z_{(1)} \leq z_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq z_{(n)}$

These statistics are called order statistics

$$\hat{\mu}^{(L,n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot z_{(i)}$$

where a_i 's are a priori selected weights.

Other types of estimators

Estimators based on linear (hence the name) combination of order statistics - L-estimators

Estimating the location

Observations $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \Rightarrow z_{(1)} \leq z_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq z_{(n)}$

These statistics are called order statistics

$$\hat{\mu}^{(L,n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot z_{(i)}$$

where a_i 's are a priori selected weights.

Estimating the scale

Put $r_i = |z_i - \hat{\mu}^{(L,n)}| \Rightarrow r_{(1)} \leq r_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq r_{(n)}$

$$\hat{\sigma}^{(L,n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \cdot r_{(i)}$$

where b_i 's are again a priori selected weights.

Other types of estimators


Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider data

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n.$$

The situation can look like this for $\Delta = 3$



Other types of estimators


Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider data

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n.$$

The situation can look like this for $\Delta = 2$



Other types of estimators


Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider data

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n.$$

The situation can look like this for $\Delta = 1$



Other types of estimators


Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider data

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n.$$

The situation can look like this for $\Delta = 0$



Other types of estimators


Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider data

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n.$$

The situation can look like this for $\Delta = -0.5$.



Other types of estimators


Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider data

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n.$$

The situation can look like this for $\Delta = -0.25$



Other types of estimators


Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider data

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n.$$

The situation can look like this for $\Delta = -0.125$




Other types of estimators

Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations, $\Delta \in \mathcal{R}$ and consider data

Under assumption that data were generated by a symmetric density we can prove that Δ minimizing distance between x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and $2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n$ is a consistent estimator of location.



Other types of estimators

Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations and $\Delta \in R$.

Let R_i be the rank of the i -th observations in the pooled sample

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n$$

and put

$$S_n(\Delta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_n(R_i)$$

where $a_n(R) = n \int_{\frac{R-1}{n}}^{\frac{R}{n}} \Psi(u) du$ with $\Psi(u) = \Psi(1-u)$ ($\rightarrow \int_0^1 \Psi(u) du = 0$).

Other types of estimators

Estimators based on rank statistics (hence the name)
R-estimators

Estimating the location

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be observations and $\Delta \in R$.

Let R_i be the rank of the i -th observations in the pooled sample

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 2\Delta - x_1, 2\Delta - x_2, \dots, 2\Delta - x_n$$

and put

$$S_n(\Delta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_n(R_i)$$

where $a_n(R) = n \int_{\frac{R-1}{n}}^{\frac{R}{n}} \Psi(u) du$ with $\Psi(u) = \Psi(1-u)$ ($\rightarrow \int_0^1 \Psi(u) du = 0$).

Then put


$$\hat{\mu}^{(R,n)} = \arg \min_{\Delta \in R} S_n(\Delta).$$

Other types of estimators

Minimal distance estimators Estimating a general parameter

Let $\{F_\theta(x)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rightarrow F^{(n)}(x)$ empirical d. f.

$\mathcal{D}(F, G)$ a distance on the space of all d. f.'s,
e. g. Prokhorov metric π or some I -divergence



Other types of estimators

Minimal distance estimators Estimating a general parameter


Let $\{F_\theta(x)\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rightarrow F^{(n)}(x)$ empirical d. f.

$\mathcal{D}(F, G)$ a distance on the space of all d. f.'s,
e. g. Prokhorov metric π or some I -divergence

$$\hat{\theta}^{(MD,n)} = \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{D}(F_\theta, F^{(n)})$$

Kullbac-Leibler divergence

Let F and G are absolutely continuous d. f.
and f and g the corresponding densities, respectively.




Kullbac-Leibler divergence

Let F and G are absolutely continuous d. f.
and f and g the corresponding densities, respectively.

Then

$$KL(F, G) = \int \log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) \cdot g(x) dx$$

is called *Kullbac-Leibler divergence*.



Kullbac-Leibler divergence

Let F and G are absolutely continuous d. f.
and f and g the corresponding densities, respectively.

Then

$$KL(F, G) = \int \log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) \cdot g(x) dx$$

is called *Kullbac-Leibler divergence*.

By Jensen's inequality we easy prove that

$$KL(F, G) \geq 0.$$

Kullbac-Leibler divergence

Let F and G are absolutely continuous d. f.
and f and g the corresponding densities, respectively.

Then

$$KL(F, G) = \int \log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) \cdot g(x) dx$$

is called *Kullbac-Leibler divergence*.

By Jensen's inequality we easy prove that


$$KL(F, G) \geq 0.$$

The problem with orthogonality - Igor Vajda.

Jensen's inequality

Let $h(x)$ be convex and X a random variable having the mean value EX .
Then

$$E\{h(X)\} \geq h(EX).$$




Jensen's inequality

Let $h(x)$ be convex and X a random variable having the mean value EX .
Then

$$E\{h(X)\} \geq h(EX).$$

Proof: As (see the next slide)

$$h(x) \geq h(EX) + b \cdot (X - EX),$$



Jensen's inequality

Let $h(x)$ be convex and X a random variable having the mean value EX .
Then


$$E\{h(X)\} \geq h(EX).$$

Proof: As (see the next slide)

$$h(x) \geq h(EX) + b \cdot (x - EX),$$

we have


$$E\{h(X)\} \geq h(EX) + b \cdot E(X - EX) = h(EX).$$




Jensen's inequality



Jensen's inequality




Jensen's inequality



Kullbac-Leibler divergence

By Jensen's inequality we easily prove that

$$KL(F, G) = \int \log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) \cdot g(x) dx = \mathbf{E}_G \log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) = -\mathbf{E}_G \log \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right)$$



Kullbac-Leibler divergence

By Jensen's inequality we easily prove that


$$KL(F, G) = \int \log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) \cdot g(x) dx = \mathbf{E}_G \log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) = -\mathbf{E}_G \log \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right)$$

As $-\log(z)$ is convex function, we have

$$KL(F, G) = -\mathbf{E}_G \log \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right) \geq \log \left(\int \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x) dx \right) = 0.$$

I-divergence

Let F and G are absolutely continuous d. f.,
 f and g the corresponding densities, respectively,
and $h(z)$ a convex function.




I-divergence

Let F and G are absolutely continuous d. f.,
 f and g the corresponding densities, respectively,
and $h(z)$ a convex function.

Then

$$I(F, G) = \int h\left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)}\right) \cdot g(x) dx$$

is called *I-divergence*.



I-divergence

Let F and G are absolutely continuous d. f.,
 f and g the corresponding densities, respectively,
and $h(z)$ a convex function.

Then

$$I(F, G) = \int h\left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)}\right) \cdot g(x) dx$$

is called *I-divergence*.

By Jensen's inequality we again easy prove that

$$I(F, G) \geq 0.$$

Frequently used divergences

A great contribution to study of I-divergences:



Frequently used divergences

A great contribution to study of I-divergences:

Csiszár, I. (1975): I-divergence geometry of probability distributions and minimization problems.

Ann. Probab. 3, 146-158.



Frequently used divergences

A great contribution to study of I-divergences:

Csiszár, I. (1975): I-divergence geometry of probability distributions and minimization problems.

Ann. Probab. 3, 146-158.

One of the most frequently employed function $h(z)$

$$h(z) = \frac{z^\alpha - 1}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1].$$

Box, G. E. P., D. R. Cox (1964): An analysis of transformations.

Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, 26, 211 - 243.

Frequently used divergences

A great contribution to study of I-divergences:

Csiszár, I. (1975): I-divergence geometry of probability distributions and minimization problems.
Ann. Probab. 3, 146-158.

One of the most frequently employed function $h(z)$


$$h(z) = \frac{z^\alpha - 1}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1].$$

Box, G. E. P., D. R. Cox (1964): An analysis of transformations.
Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B, 26, 211 - 243.

The I-divergence is then called α -divergence.


Other types of estimators

Minimal volume estimator Estimating a regression model




Other types of estimators

By the way, the Ordinary Least Squares gives
Estimating a regression model




Other types of estimators

Minimal volume estimator Estimating a general parameter




Other types of estimators

So, it seems we have nearly unmissable tool
Estimating a regression model




Other types of estimators

But what about such a situation
Estimating a regression model




Other types of estimators

We can proceed as in previous case
Estimating a regression model



Other types of estimators

And the model is reasonable
but we lose idly some information





THANKS FOR ATTENTION